## Chronological Questions

## PROBLEM TEXTS (3)

## F. F. BRUCE

'Chronology,' said one of the Puritans, 'is a surly cur, that hath bit many a man's fingers.' Why meddle with it, then? Because the chronology of scriptwres is a fascinating subject for some, and because the student of the subject will take no ham from it

## ${ }^{'}$ Chronology is a surly cur, that hath bit many a man's fingers.'

provided he does not think himselt obliged to hamomize all apparendy contlicting texts, and provided he does not imagine that his interpretation is right and every one that disagrees with it is wrong. When 1 twas in my teens I read with interest Philip Mauros book The Chonwlog of the Bible; at the outset he emphasized that it was deliberately entitled The (not A) Chronotog of the Bible, becanse the scheme set forth in it, that scheme and no other, was the chronolouy presented by the Bible. He did not sufficienty consider that his scheme depended very largely on a doubtful, and at best umprovable. understanding of Daniel's seventy weeks.
Well, here we have a clute of texts dealing with the early history of the chosen people. They are quoted in the RSV, which represents the Hebrew of the Old Testament, or the Greek of the New Testament, faithfully enough for our purpose.

[^0]begen to build the house of the Lord (1 Kgs. (6:1).
And when he had destroyed seven nations in the land of Canatio, he gave them their land as an inheritance, for about four hundred and fify years. And after that he wate them judges unil Sanuel the prophet Acts $13: 19$, 20).
"The law, which cotee four hunderl and thiry years athemod. does not amoul a comenam prevonsly matied by God' (Gat atal.
In Gen. 15:13, 16. do four hundred vears' and 'the fourch generation' denote the same length of time? If so. is 'generation' (Heb, dion) used here in the more extemded stase of cenury? This is unlikely beause in the genealogies of Levi it Chom. 6; $1-3$ ) and Judah (Gem, thit: Ruth t:AS21; 1 Chron. 2:3-11 the Evodus does appear of have baken place in the fouth gentation after the descont into Egyt. 'Bur. someone may point out, generations are sometimes omited. True. and that may wam us not to be over-confident ibout the conclusions we dran:
Did the opprestion of the Isaches in Egypt, then, last for too years? That would seen to be the sense of Gem. 15:13. If we take that tigure along with the 430 years given by Erod. $12 \cdot 40$ for their sojouming in Eqp, it might be infered that they lived in Egypt for aboun 30 rears before the oppression began.
Why then, in Gal. 3:1\%. does Paul appear to reckon the 430 years as begiming not with the descent into Egypt but with God's promise to Abraham (Gon. 13:15; 17:-7.;22:18)? Probably because Paul read Erod. 12:10 in the septuagint rersion. according to which the 430 years covered the Istaelites dwelling in the land of EqPt and in the land of Canadi. The interpretation implied by this version was mantainted also in rabbinical wadition. according to which the 43 years began with Abraham's depanure from Haran and the 400 vears with the binth of Isatac, in fulfiment of the promise. (Could one refer to Abraham and his family as "chidtren of istael' before Jacob himself was bom, not to speak
of his offspring?) Paul's argument in Gal. 3:15 would be equally valid if he had followed the Masoretic text of the Hebrew Bible and reckoned the interval as 645 years.
As for the 450 years of Acts 13:19. since they precede the giving of judges to the people, they most probably include the 400 vears of oppression (cf. Acts $7: 6$ ) and the 40 vears in the wilderness (Acts 13:18). plus the time that elapsed between the entry into Canaan and the alloment of the land among the tribes.

> While Bible chronology is an interesting subject for study and discussion, it is not one about which it is wise to dogmatize.

The 'Westem' text of Acts, followed by the Byzantine text, takes the 450 years as covering the period of the Judges (in which case one would have expected the word 'years' to be in the accusative case, not the dative which is actually used here). But this would imply that the interval between the Exodus and the: foundation of Solomon's temple was 574 years rather than the $480(=12$ generations? ) of 1 Kings 6:1. The theory, once popular. that the years of oppression during the period of the judges are included in the larger of these no numbers, bui left oui of the smatler, is singularty unconvincing. The issue is further complicated by the Septuagint reading of 440 years in 1 Kings $6: 1$, but that is quite unlikely to be the true text. The uncertainties involved in the inferpretation of these texts suggest that, while Bible chronology is an interesting subject for study and discussion, it is not one about which it is wise to dogmatize.


[^0]:    Then the Land said to Abman, "Know of a surety that your descendants will be sojommers in a band that is not theirs, and will be slates dhere, and they will be oppressed for four hundred sears. . . And thev shall come back in the fourth generation" ' (Gem. 10:13, 16)
    "The time thai the people of Isact dwel in Egyp was four hundred and thirty years (Erad. 1240).
    'In the four hundred and eightieth sear after the people of Istrel came out of the land of Egyp, in the fourth year of Solomon's reign over israel. . . . he

